## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SCARLETT PAVLOVICH,

Plaintiff,

C.A. NO. 1:25-CV-00969

-against-

AMANDA PALMER,

Defendant.

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)(2)

## STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the Plaintiff and the Defendant, that the Plaintiff will submit an unopposed motion for the dismissal without prejudice of Pavlovich v. Palmer, C.A. No. 1:24-CV-2135, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2), with each party to bear its own costs and attorney fees to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York;

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The above captioned case is dismissed without prejudice under Fed. R. Civ. Pro. Rule 41(a)(2), with each party to bear its own costs and fees.

Dated: May 29, 2025

By: /s/ Akiva M. Cohen

Akiva M. Cohen (AC2400) Thomas Neville (pro hac vice pending) Dylan Schmeyer (pro hac vice pending) KAMERMAN UNCYK SONIKER & KLEIN P.C. 1700 Broadway New York, New York 10019 Tel: (212) 400-4930

tneville@kusklaw.com dschmeyer@kusklaw.com acohen@kusklaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: <u>/s/ Daniel N. Marx</u>

Daniel N. Marx FICK & MARX LLP 24 Federal Street, 4th Floor Boston, MA 02110 (857) 321-8360 dmarx@fickmarx.com Attorney for Defendant

Daicu.	
Llated:	Dated: .
	Daicu.